STANDARD FORM



Mississippi Department of Health Bureau of Public Water Supply

FY 2019 Public Water System Capacity Assessment Form

regional engineer of the I	Bureau of Publ	lic Water Supply	survey of a public water system is co		
			County:		
Public Water System:			Con	n:	
Certified Waterworks Op	perator:		Pop:		
CAPACITY RATING Technical (T) Capacity Rat	G DETERN	MINATION			
Capacity Rating = $\frac{T + M + F}{3} = \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3}$ Overall Capacity		y Rating =	:		
Completed by on					
Comments:					
	Techni	ical Capacity Assessm	nent	Point Scale	Point Award
[T1] Does the water syste	m have any si	gnificant deficiencies? [Y	N]	N - 1pt. Y - 0pt.	
fluoride, etc. within acc functioning properly at the in survey report.) 3) Wer	ceptable range time of surve e records ava	ge?) 2) Was needed wate by? [Y N] (NOTE: Equip ilable to the regional engi and cleaned or painted (if	[YN] (i.e. Is pH, iron, chlorine, r system equipment in place and ment deficiencies must be identified neer clearly showing that all water needed) within the past 5 years? All YESs required to receive point)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	
survey? [Y N] 2) Was I being met based on system survey? [Y N] 4) Did on	log book up to n classificatio perator/systen	o date and properly mainta on) 3) Was the water systen on personnel satisfactorily der or quality tests required to	rized representative present for the tined? [YN] (Are minimum days in properly maintained at the time of the demonstrate to the regional engineer properly operate this water system? All YESs required to receive point)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	
[Y N] 2) Is water system capacity)? [Y N] 3) Was problems in any part(s) of complaints, MSDH reco	m overloaded s there any ind f the distributi rds, other inf	? (i.e. serving customers in lication that the water syste on system? [YN] (basec formation) 4) Are well pu	reptable record available for review? a excess of MSDH approved design m is/has been experiencing pressure d on operator information, customer imping tests performed routinely? R #2 & #3 required to receive point)	1)Y - pt. 2)N - pt. 3)N - pt. 4)Y - pt.	
[T5] 1) Does the water sy emergency tie-ins, etc.)	ystem have the	oes the water system have	uring power outages? (i.e. generator, a usable backup source of water? ust be documented on survey report)	All Y - 1 pt. Else - 0 pt.	
TI	ECHNICAI	L CAPACITY RATIN	NG = [] (Total Points)		

Revision Date: 07/09/2018

Public Water System:	PWS ID #:
FY 2019 Public Water System Capacity Assessment Form	Survey Date:

Managerial Capacity Assessment	Point Scale	Point Award			
[M1] Were all SDWA required records maintained in a logical and orderly manner and available for review by the regional engineer during the survey? [YN]					
[M2] 1) Have acceptable written policies and procedures for operating this water system been formally adopted and were these policies available for review during the survey? [YN] 2) Have all board members (in office more than 12 months) completed Board Member Training? [YNNA] 3) Does the Board of Directors meet monthly and were minutes of Board meetings available for review during the survey? (NOTE: Quarterly meetings allowed if system has an officially designated full time manager) [YNNA] (NOTE: ALL YESs or NAs required to receive point. NA - Not Applicable)					
[M3] Has the water system had any SDWA violations since the last Capacity Assessment? [Y N]					
[M4] Has the water system developed a long range improvements plan and was this plan available for review during the survey? [Y N]					
[M5] 1) Does the water system have an effective cross connection control program in compliance with MSDH regulations? [Y N] 2) Was a copy of the MSDH approved bacti site plan and lead/copper site plan available for review during the survey and do the bacti results clearly show that this approved plan is being followed? [Y N] (NOTE: All YESs required to receive point)					
MANAGERIAL CAPACITY RATING = [] (Total Points)					

Financial Capacity Assessment	Point Scale	Point Award		
[F1] Has the water system raised water rates in the past 5 years? [YN] (NOTE: Point may be awarded if the water system provides acceptable financial documentation clearly showing that a rate increase is not needed, i.e. revenue has consistently exceeded expenditures by at least 10%, etc.)				
[F2] Does the water system have an officially adopted policy requiring that water rates be routinely reviewed and adjusted as appropriate and was this policy available for review during the survey? [YN]				
[F3] Does the water system have an officially adopted cut-off policy for customers who do not pay their water bills, was a copy of this policy available for review by the regional engineer, and do system records (cut-off lists, etc.) <u>clearly</u> show that the water system effectively implements this cut-off policy? [Y N]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.			
[F4] Was a copy of the water system's officially adopted annual budget available for review by the regional engineer and does the water system's financial accounting system clearly and accurately track the expenditure and receipt of funds? [Y N]	Y - 1pt. N - 0pt.			
[F5 - Municipal Systems] 1) Was a copy of the latest audit report available for review at the time of the survey? [Y N] 2) Does this audit report clearly show that water and sewer fund account(s) are maintained separately from all other municipal accounts? [Y N] (NOTE: Yes answer to all questions required to receive point.)				
[F5 - Rural Systems] 1) Was the latest financial report / audit report available for review? [Y N] 2) Does the latest financial report show that receipts exceeded expenditures? [Y N] (NOTE: Yes answer to both questions required to receive point)				
FINANCIAL CAPACITY RATING = [] (Total Points)				